IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRL.R.No.2307 of 2006

DATE OF ORDER:13.11.2006

CORUM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. AGGARWAL

.*.*.*.

Present: Mr. Manish K. Rampal, Advocate.

M.M. AGGARWAL,J

This is petition against order dated 15.9.2006 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Chandigarh whereby accused-petitioners were charged for the offence under Sections 307/34 IPC.

On behalf of the petitioners, it is argued that there is no case for the offence under Section 307 IPC. There was one injury only and three persons had been involved and that at the most the case will be for the offence under Section 324 IPC.

I have gone through the detailed order dated 15.9.2006 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Chandigarh. Detailed reasons had been given as to why the charges for the offence under Section 307/34 IPC had been framed.

I am of the view that there is no good ground to interfere at this stage. If ultimately the case for the offence under Section 307 IPC is not proved then accused-petitioners can always be acquitted for that charge and then convicted for whatever offences proved.

Dismissed.

November 13, 2006 (M.M. AGGARWAL)
manoj JUDGE